

ARTZ & ARTZ P.C.
Law Offices*Intellectual Property and Technology Related Causes***RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

JAN 14 2005

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEETFax: (248) 223-9522
Phone: (248) 223-9500TO: Mail Stop Amendment,
Examiner Tien Quang Dinh
FIRM: USPTO
FAX NO.: (703) 872-9306

From:

R. Scott Vincent

Date:

January 14, 2005

Our File No.:

BOE 0432 PA

Your Ref. No.:

10/688,624

Comments:

Attached is response to Final Office Action dated
11/16/04.Total Pages (incl. Cover sheet): 16

The information contained in this facsimile message may be confidential and/or legally privileged information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any copying, dissemination or distribution of confidential or privileged information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and we will arrange for the return of the facsimile. Thank you.

If there are any problems during transmission, please call:
(248) 223-9500.

Donna Kraft
(Operator)28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250, SOUTHFIELD, MICHIGAN 48034
TELEPHONE: (248) 223-9500 -- FACSIMILE: (248) 223-9522

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 14 2005

In re Application of:

Young et al.

Serial No.: 10/688,624

Group Art Unit: 3644

Filed: October 17, 2003

Examiner: Dinh, Tien Quang

For: AIRCRAFT ARCHWAY ARCHITECTURE

Attorney Docket No.: BOE 0432 PA

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) 872-9306 (Centralized Fax No.) on 1/14/2005.R. Scott VincentSignature: **AMENDMENT and REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 CFR §1.116**Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants submit this Amendment and Request for Reconsideration in response to the Final Office Action dated November 16, 2004. This response is timely because it is being filed within the first two months of the three-month time period allowed for a response.